



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/729,911	12/06/2000	Donald L. Schilling	LINX08US	7474	
75	590 04/09/2002				
DAVID NEWMAN CHARTERED			EXAMINER		
Centennial Square P.O. Box 2728			VO, DON 1	VO, DON NGUYEN	
La Plata, MD	20646-2728		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
٠,			2631		
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Col

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/729,911	SCHILLING ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DON N VO	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 3.1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	*	•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		I disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2 2 4 4 2 4 3 4 13 4 12	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	and a few and have a second and		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes 	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	



Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement

1. The Preliminary Amendment filed on 6/21/00 has been received and placed of record.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - In claim 4, line 7, "node" is suggested to be changed to nodes --;
- In claim 6, line 5, "a first node" is suggested to be changed to said first node --.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/729,911

Art Unit: 2631

5. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chau et al (5,751,792; art cited by Applicant) in view of Sharony et al (5,742,593) or Chuprun et al (6,301,239).

As shown in figures 1 and 2, Chau teaches a network comprising central office to send out data, a hub which transfers data within the network, a plurality of nodes and remote stations for sending and receiving data. See also column 1, line 66 to column 4, line 31. Chau fails to teach employing the spread spectrum communications within the network. However, Sharony or Chuprun teaches employing the spread spectrum communications within the distributed network for facilitating reliable communications (Sharony: column 1, lines 58-67) and reducing network collisions and susceptibility to jamming signals, while increasing network connectivity and communication throughput (Chuprun: column 1, line 64 to column 2, line1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the network of Chau by employing the spread spectrum communications within the network so as to facilitate reliable communications.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-3 are allowed over prior art of record.





Application/Control Number: 09/729,911

Art Unit: 2631

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References Mincher et al (5,604,869) and Perlman (5,455,865) cited because they are pertinent to the distributed network.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DON N VO whose telephone number is (703) 305-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

DON N VO Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

April 6, 2002